UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CAROLYN GREENE, on Behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff,	: Civ. No. 1:03 CV 12628 (NG)
vs.	: :
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOMAS A. MOORE, CARL W. RAUSCH and RONALD F. RICHARDS,	
Defendants.	: : :
[Additional Captions Set Forth Below]	X

MOTION OF RONALD ERICKSON AND BENJAMIN JOSEPH FOR CONSOLIDATION, APPOINTMENT AS LEAD PLAINTIFFS PURSUANT TO §21D(a)(3)(B) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 AND APPROVAL OF SELECTION OF LEAD COUNSEL

	X
JOHN G. ESPOSITO, JR., on Behalf of Himself a All Others Similarly Situated,	: and : :
Plaintiff,	: Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10013 (NG)
vs.	: :
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOMAS A. MOO CARL W. RAUSCH and RONALD F. RICHARD	·
Defendants.	
JOSEPH L. KING, on Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated,	X : : :
Plaintiff,	. Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10038 (NG)
vs.	: :
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOMAS A. MOCCARL W. RAUSCH and RONALD F. RICHARD	
Defendants.	; ; v
MICHAEL E. CRIDEN, Individually and on Beha of All Others Similarly Situated,	:
Plaintiff,	: Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10046 (NG)
vs.	: :
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOMAS A. MOC and CARL W. RAUSCH,	; DRE : :
Defendants.	· : v
	^

		X	
ISRAEL SHURKIN and SHA Individually and on Behalf of Similarly Situated,	•	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	
	Plaintiffs,		Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10055 (NG)
vs.		:	
BIOPURE CORPORATION and CARL W. RAUSCH,	, THOMAS A. MOOR	.E :	
	Defendants.	: V	
JAMES J. NIZZO, VIRGINI CARLO CILIBERTI, on Bel and All Others Similarly Situ	A C. NIZZO and nalf of Themselves	: : :	
	Plaintiffs,	•	Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10065 (NG)
vs.			
BIOPURE CORPORATION CARL W. RAUSCH and RO	-		
	Defendants.	; ; V	
BARRY BROOKS, on Beha All Others Similarly Situated		: :	
	Plaintiff,	:	Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10077 (NG)
vs.		:	
BIOPURE CORPORATION CARL W. RAUSCH and RO	•	•	
	Defendants.	: : X	

	~ =	-X	
ANASTASIOS PERLEGIS, I Behalf of All Others Similarly	ndividually and on	;	
	Plaintiff,	:	Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10078 (NG)
vs.		:	
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOMAS A. MOORE, CAR RONALD F. RICHARDS,		Ξ,: : :	
	Defendants.	X	
MARTIN WEBER, on Behalt Others Similarly Situated,		: : :	
	Plaintiffs,	:	Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10090 (NG)
vs.		• •	
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOMAS A. MOORE, CAR RONALD F. RICHARDS,		: E,: :	
	Defendants.	: : V	
BRUCE HAIMS, Individually All Others Similarly Situated,		: : :	
	Plaintiffs,	:	Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10144 (NG)
vs.		;	
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOMAS A. MOORE, CAR RONALD F. RICHARDS,		: E,: : :	
	Defendants.	; : X	

	X
MODEL PARTNERS LIMITED, In on Behalf of All Others Similarly Si	dividually and :
Plaint	: iff, : Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10155 (N
vs.	; ;
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOM MOORE, CARL W. RAUSCH and F. RICHARDS,	
	dants. :
JUNE E. PATENAUDE, Individual of All Others Similarly Situated,	
Plaint	iff, Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10179 (N
VS.	÷ :
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOM MOORE, CARL W. RAUSCH and F. RICHARDS,	
Defen	idants. :
NANCY L. PINCKNEY, and GERT PINCKNEY, Individually and on Bo Others Similarly Situated,	TRUDE :
Plaint	: iff,
vs.	; ;
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOI MOORE, CARL W. RAUSCH,	: MAS A. :
Defen	dants.

00001845.WPD; 1

	X	
W. KENNETH JOHNSON, on Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated,		
Plaintiff,	:	Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10190 (NG)
vs.	:	
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOMAS A. MOORE, CARL W. RAUSCH and RONALD F. RICHARDS,	; ; ;	
Defendants.	; ;	
GREGORY KRUSZKA, on Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated,	X : :	
Plaintiff,	; ;	Civ. No. 1:04 CV 10202 (NG)
BIOPURE CORPORATION, THOMAS A. MOORE, CARL W. RAUSCH and RONALD	; ; ;	
F. RICHARDS, Defendants.	; ;	
	X	

Class members Ronald Erickson and Benjamin John Joseph ("Proposed Lead Plaintiffs" or "Movants"), by their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an order: (i) consolidating for all purposes related actions filed against the above-captioned defendants for violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), pursuant to Rule 42 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; (ii) appointing Movants as Lead Plaintiffs on behalf of purchasers of Biopure Corporation common stock, pursuant to Section 21D of the Exchange Act, as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. §78u-4, and (iii) approving Movants' selection of Lead Counsel.

The Motion is brought on the grounds that the actions filed against Biopure Corporation and the individual defendants are substantially identical because each alleges claims for violations of §§10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§78j(b) and 78t(a), and SEC Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5, based upon similar factual allegations against substantially the same defendants. In addition, consolidation of these cases will promote efficiency.

This motion is also made on the grounds that Movants believe themselves to be the most adequate plaintiffs, having suffered total estimated losses of \$323,199. Further, Movants meet the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, since their claims are typical of class members' claims and Movants will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class.

The facts and law supporting the instant Motion are fully set forth in the accompanying Memorandum of Law in Support of the Motion of Ronald Erickson and Benjamin Joseph for consolidation of related cases, appointment as Lead Plaintiffs pursuant to § 21D of the Exchange Act, and approval of Proposed Lead Plaintiffs' Choice of Lead Counsel.

Dated: March 1, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

GILMAN AND PASTOR, LLP

David Pastor (BBO #391000)

Peter A. Lagorio (BBO #567379) Stonehill Corporate Center 999 Broadway, Suite 500

Saugus, MA 01906

Proposed Liaison Counsel For Plaintiffs and the Class

STULL, STULL & BRODY

Jules Brody Howard T. Longman Bradley P. Dyer 6 East 45th Street New York, New York 10017 (212) 687-7230

Proposed Lead Counsel For Plaintiffs and The Class